<u> </u>	-3		_7/	
				<u> </u>
~ 0	NUL	0 5	5006	7 304
4				
73			O.	·/ _

TRANSMITTAL LETTER (General - Patent Pending)

Docket No. 18695

<u></u>	/*/					
In Re Ap	plication (Of: Guenter Wansch	hura, et al.			
Applicati	/	Filing Date September 23, 2005	Examiner Unassigned	Customer No. 23389	Group Art Unit Unassigned	Confirmation No. Unassigned
Title: G	GEAR PUI	MP AND HOLDING	ELEMENT FOR SAME			
			COMMISSIONER FOR PAT	ENTS:	·	
Transmitt	ted herew	ith is:				
CORR REPOI		ENCE ENCLOSING .	TRANSLATION OF INTERNA	ATIONAL PRE	LIMINARY EXA	AMINATION
in the ab	ove ident	ified application.				
□ A ⊠ Th	check in t		is attached. ed to charge and credit Deposit	Account No.	19-1013/SSMP	

Charge the amount of

 \boxtimes Credit any overpayment.

Charge any additional fee required.

☐ Payment by credit card. Form PTO-2038 is attached.

WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038.

Dated: May 31, 2006

Leopold Presser

Registration No. 19,827

Scully, Scott, Murphy & Presser 400 Garden City Plaza - Suite 300 Garden City, New York 11530 (516) 742-4343

cc: LP:jy

I hereby certify that this correspondence deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to the "Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA/22313-1450"/(37 CF/R 1.8(a)) on

> May 31, 200 (Nate)

of Person Mailing Correspondence

Leopold Presser

Typed or Printed Name of Person Mailing Correspondence

JUN ® 5 2006 EX THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Guenter Wanschura, et al.

Examiner:

Unassigned

Serial No.:

10/550,845

Art Unit:

Unassigned

Filed:

September 23, 2005

Docket:

18695

For:

GEAR PUMP AND HOLDING

Dated:

May 31, 2006

ELEMENT FOR SAME

Confirmation No.: Unassigned

Commissioner for Patents P. O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

CORRESPONDENCE

Sir:

Submitted herewith is a translation of the International Preliminary Examination

Report, which was submitted on September 23, 2005.

Respectfully submitted

Leopold Presser

Registration No. 19,827 Attorney for Applicants

Scully, Scott, Murphy & Presser, P.C. 400 Garden City Plaza – Suite 300 Garden City, New York 11530 (516) 742-4343 LP:jy

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.8(a)

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner of Patents, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on May 31, 2006.

Dated: May 31, 2006

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From INTE		ONAL SEARCH	ING AUTHOR	нтү			
Го:					PCT PCT		
				WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY			
						(PCT Rule 43bis.1)	
					Date of mailing (day/month/year)		
		agent's file refere	nce		FOR FURTHER	ACTION	
		/WO Kf				See paragraph 2 below	
		pplication No. 2004/003	3186	International filing date 25.03.2004	(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 03.06.2003	
Interna	ational P	atent Classificatio	on (IPC) or both	national classification an	d IPC		
ı							
Applic	ant						
BRI	JENI	nghaus h	IYDROMA1	IK GMBH			
	This	oninion contains i	ndiantiona sul-s				
••			ndications relat	ing to the following items	:		
	\boxtimes	Box No. I	Basis of the	opinion			
	\boxtimes	Box No. II	Priority				
		Box No. III	Non-establis	hment of opinion with reg	ard to novelty, inventi	ve step and industrial applicability	
	\boxtimes	Box No. IV		of invention			
		Box No. V	Reasoned sta applicability:	tement under Rule 43bis. citations and explanation	l(a)(i) with regard to n is supporting such state	ovelty, inventive step or industrial	
	ᆜ	Box No. VI	Certain docu	ments cited			
	\boxtimes	Box No. VII	Certain defec	ts in the international app	plication		
	\boxtimes	Box No. VIII		vations on the internation			
2.	FURT	THER ACTION					
	If a d Interna than th	lemand for interi ational Preliminar his one to be the	IPEA and the c	unority (IPEA) except	that this does not appl	be considered to be a written opinion of the ly where the applicant chooses an Authority other au under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of	
	If this writter	opinion is, as pro	ovided above, o where appropr	considered to be a written iate, with amendments, h	petore the expiration :	the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form spires later	
PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.							
3.	For fur	ther details, see n	notes to Form Po	CT/ISA/220.			
iame ai	nd mailir	ng address of the	ISAÆP		Authorized officer	7	
				Í		ļ	
acsimil	icsimile No.			ĺ	Telephone No.		

International application No.

Box	No. 1	Basis of this opinion
1.	With filed.	regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was unless otherwise indicated under this item.
		This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language
	_	, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under
		Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.	With	regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed ation, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a.	type of material
		a sequence listing
		table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b.	format of material
		in written format
		in computer readable form
	c.	time of filing/furnishing
		contained in the international application as filed.
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
	_	
3.	Ш	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4.	Addit	ional comments:

International application No.

Box	No. II	Priority
1.	The	following document has not yet been furnished:
	\boxtimes	copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)).
		translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)).
	Const the as	equently it has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has nevertheless been established on sumption that the relevant date in the claimed priority date.
2.	- (Rul	opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid es 43bis.1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the rant date.
3.	Additional	observations, if necessary:

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/003186

Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention	
In response to the invitation (Form PCT/ISA/206) to pay additional fees the applicant has: paid additional fees paid additional fees under protest	
not paid additional fees	
2. This Authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied with and chose not to invite the applicant to p additional fees.	ay
3. This Authority considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rules 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is complied with not complied with for the following reasons:	
See supplemental sheet	
4. Consequently, this opinion has been established in respect of the following parts of the international application: all parts	
the parts relating to claims Nos.	

International application No.

			CHING ACTHORITY	PCT/EP2004/00318	86
Box No. V	Reasoned statement citations and expla	nt under Ro mations su	ule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, invent pporting such statement	ive step or industrial applicability;	
1. Statement					
Novel	ty (N)	Claims Claims	2, 4-20, 22-28 1, 3, 21		YES NO
Invent	tive step (IS)	Claims	2, 4-14, 23-28		YES
		Claims	1, 3, 15-22		NO
Indust	rial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-28		YES
		Claims			NO
2. Citations	and explanations:	· .	······································		
See :	supplementa	l she	et		
					į

International application No.

Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application					
The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:						
See	supplemental sheet					

International application No.

Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application
The following the description	g observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by n, are made:
See	supplemental sheet

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/003186

Supplemental Box

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient.

Continuation of

Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: US-A-3 315 608 (OTTO ECKERLE) 25 April 1967 (1967-04-25)

D2: US-A-4 978 266 (BECKER KLAUS ET AL.)
18 December 1990 (1990-12-18)

D3: US-A-5 907 891 (MEYER CHARLES) 1 June 1999 (1999-06-01)

This Examining Authority has determined that the international application contains a number of inventions or groups of inventions which are not linked by a single general inventive concept (PCT Rule 13.1), namely:

I: Claims 1-20: Gear pump with a retaining member in the pump cover.

II: Claims 21-28: Retaining member having a cylindrical sub-body and an adjoining conical sub-body.

The reasons are as follows.

Claims 1-20 relate to a gear pump with a retaining member in the pump cover. The special technical feature of this first group is the retaining member, which is retained in the pump cover and releases the closure plate in the finally assembled state.

Claims 21-28 relate to a retaining member with a

Supplemental Box

cylindrical sub-body and an adjoining conical subbody. The special technical feature of these claims is the conical sub-body.

The problem addressed by the first group can therefore be considered that of creating a gear pump which allows simplified assembly.

The problem addressed by the second group can therefore be considered that of creating a retaining member with improved retaining properties.

There is no technical relationship as required in PCT Rule 13.2 because the special technical features are not the same and do not correspond to one another. The inventions described in claims A-Z thus do not realize a single common inventive concept, and the requirement of PCT Rule 13.1 has not been met.

The subject matter of independent claim 1 is not novel (PCT Article 33(2)).

Document D1 discloses (see figure 3) a gear pump having a pump cover 4,

an inner rotor 2, which is arranged such that it can be rotated in a recess of the pump cover 4 and is designed such that it can be fixed in terms of rotation on a driveable plug-in shaft 1, and an outer rotor 3, which is arranged such that it can be rotated in the recess of the pump cover 4, eccentrically in relation to the axis of rotation of

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/003186

Supplemental Box

the inner rotor 2, in such a manner that the outer rotor 3 engages with the inner rotor 2 only in a first angle-of-rotation range, and in a second angle-of-rotation range, which is located opposite the first angle-of-rotation range, it is in contact with an inner surface of a crosspiece 6 which is arranged in the recess and, in turn, is in contact, on its outer surface, with the outer rotor 3, with the result that, once the recess has been closed by a closure plate 9, an admission-pressure chamber and a low-pressure chamber form in the recess, a retaining member 10, which is retained in the pump cover 4, retaining the closure plate 9 at a fixed angle of rotation on the pump cover 4 in the preassembled state of the gear pump.

All the features of claim 1 are thus known from D1.

3. Dependent claims 3 and 15-20 do not appear to contain any additional features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer back, could give rise to a subject matter which is novel and involves an inventive step (PCT Article 33(2) and (3)).

- 3.1 The additional features of dependent claim 3 are already known from document D1.
- 3.2 The additional features of dependent claims 15-20

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/003186

Supplemental Box

are a matter of common practice in the art and cannot form any basis for an inventive step.

4. As is explained hereinbelow, some of the features in device claim 1 relate to a method of using the device rather than to the definition of the device with reference to its technical features. Contrary to the requirements of PCT Article 6, the intended restrictions thus cannot be clearly gathered from the claim.

The expressions "in the preassembled state" and "a retaining member retains the closure plate" indicate an assembly method for the gear pump.

5. The subject matter of independent claim 21 is not novel (PCT Article 33(2)).

Document D2 discloses (see figure 1) a retaining member having a cylindrical sub-body 2.2 which can be introduced into a recess 8 of a first object 7, with radial prestressing being developed in the process, so as to produce a force-fitting connection between the retaining member and the first object (see column 2, lines 56-59), and having a conical sub-body 2.4 which adjoins the cylindrical sub-body 2.2 and, in a first assembly state, is guided through a recess of a second object 3 and comes into contact with the recess of the second object such that the retaining member realizes a form-fitting connection between the first object and the second object.

Supplemental Box

- 6. Dependent claim 22 does not appear to contain any additional features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which it relates back, could give rise to a subject matter which is novel and involves an inventive step (PCT Article 33(2) and (3)) because the additional features of dependent claim 22 are already known from document D3.
- 7. The subject matter of claims 1 to 28 is industrially applicable.
- 8. The applicant is also referred to the following points:
 - a) The description does not cite documents D1 to D3 or include any brief description of the relevant prior art contained therein.
 - D) In contrast to the requirements of PCT Rule 11.13(m), the reference signs are not consistent throughout the entire application. For example, reference sign 33 is used both for the retaining member and for an annular recess (see page 7, line 34 and line 36). In

figures 1, 5A, 5B and 5C, the reference sign 33 also refers to different parts.

c) The statement on page 11, lines 24-27 of the

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/003186

Su	DD	lem	ent	al	Box

description creates the impression that the subject matter for which protection is sought does not correspond to the subject matter defined in the claims, and this therefore leads to a lack of clarity (PCT Article 6) when the description is used to interpret the claims (cf. PCT Examination Guidelines paragraph III-4.3(a)).